

Top Takeaways from the August 2017 CHN Committee Meetings

Final Blue KC 2016 Performance Based Incentive Results – Estimated Annualized Incentive of ~\$1.5 Million!

2016 Blue KC performance incentive results have been finalized.

See Appendix A to view your practice's updated Blue KC 2016 quality and cost performance results.

<u>Current</u> 2017 Blue KC Quality Performance Results – Use Results to Tailor Your Practice's Quality Improvement Strategy for the Remainder of 2017

Blue KC quality performance results for the rolling year from July 2016 through June 2017 are now available. The report is based on a rolling year to estimate where your practice's performance may be at the end of 2017.

Action Requested: Review this report to see where your practice may want to focus your quality improvement efforts to increase 1 or more measures above goal.

Consider working with CHN Patient Centered Medical Home and/or Provider Relations representatives to evaluate potential tactics for improvement.

See Appendix B to view your practice's Blue KC July 2016 through June 2017 quality performance results.

Understanding Risk Adjustment – What it is, Why is it Important, and How to Influence to Improve Your Practice's Risk Adjusted Cost Performance!

Why is Risk Adjustment Important?

Our network's cost performance within value-based agreements is dependent on risk adjusted cost.

Risk Adjusted Cost = Total Cost Risk Score

A higher risk score (i.e. more medical complexity) lowers your risk adjusted cost.

How Can I Influence My Practice's Risk Score to Ensure It Appropriately Reflects the Risk of My Patients?

Ensure your risk score is accurate by coding all diagnoses managed during your clinic visits.

Risk scores are based exclusively on diagnoses <u>included</u> <u>on claims</u>. Problem list diagnoses are <u>not included</u>.

See Appendix C to View a 1-Page Summary of Understanding Risk Adjustment.

Risk adjustment is the first topic of a series of topics on how our network can influence and improve risk adjusted cost performance.

New Specialty Spotlight Webinars & 1-Page Clinic Visit Templates Available on Demand

Specialty spotlight webinars are now available! Each webinar is structured as short 10-20 minute presentations based on a "PCP visit template" to educate and address common questions in diagnosing, treating, managing, and referring patients with particular conditions. Current topics available include Nocturnal Enuresis and Back Pain (Limp coming soon).

Access webinars and 1-page clinic visit template at: <u>https://www.cmics.org/chn/PediatricSpecialtyPublic.aspx</u>



Click to Access Prior Monthly CHN Committee Takeaways

Questions or Comments? Please ask your Children's Health Network committee member representative or contact Children's Health Network staff at ProviderRelations@cmpcn.org.

Appendix A

Children's Health Network - Blue KC BDTC Quality & Cost Performance (2016 PBI Model Performance)



| t Updated: 08/22/2017 | | | | | | PCMH / Patient Experience Measures (8) | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | Netw | | | |
|------------------------------------|---------------|-------------|---------------|-------|------|--|---------|----------|---------------------|-----------|---------|------------------|--------------------|--------|---|--------------------------|---------------|--------------------------------|-----------|--|--------------|--------------------------------|--------|--------------------------------|------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| CHN Practice | 15 Mo Well | 3-6 Well | 12-18 Well | BMI* | HPV | URI | CHN Att | Meetings | PCMH- Care Plans | Referrals | | Quality Score | | uality | Quality Tier Change (vs. June '17 Report) | Total Allowed PMPM | Risk Score | Total Risk Adjusted PMPM | Cost Tier | Cost Tier Change (vs. June '17 Report) | PBI Multiple | Change in PBI Multiplier | | Estimated Annualized PBI \$ | Est. Change in Annual PBI \$ | Estimated Annualized Ma PBI \$ |
| Cass County | NA | 82% | 5 749 | 6 619 | 6 NA | NA | 819 | No (1) | Yes (1) | No (2) | Yes (4) | 8/11 | 73% Ti | ier 2 | Same Tier | \$ 226.11 | 0.96 | \$ 235.53 | Tier 1 | Up 2 Tiers | 2.75 | 1.00 | 530 | \$ 20,583 | \$ 7,484 | \$ 29,9 |
| Children's Mercy | NA | 77% | 559 | 6 169 | 6 2 | 1% NA | 889 | 6 NA | NA | NA | NA | 3/4 | 75% Ti | ier 2 | Same Tier | \$ 454.76 | 1.65 | \$ 274.86 | Tier 2 | Up 2 Tiers | 2.25 | 0.75 | 1,401 | \$ 43,295 | \$ 14,432 | \$ 76,9 |
| Cradle Thru College | NA | 83% | 5 729 | 6 619 | 6 | 9% 9.5% | 94% | 6 NA | NA | NA | NA | 4/5 | 80% | ier 1 | Same Tier | \$ 243.40 | 0.78 | \$ 312.05 | Tier 3 | Same Tier | 2.50 | - | 1,530 | \$ 61,416 | ş - | \$ 98,2 |
| Health Care for Children | 87% | 5 73% | 479 | 6 169 | 6 | 2% 22.4% | 819 | 6 NA | NA | NA | NA | 2/6 | 33% Ti | ier 4 | Same Tier | \$ 244.56 | 0.81 | \$ 301.93 | Tier 3 | Same Tier | 0.50 | - | 2,167 | \$ 16,282 | \$ - | \$ 130,2 |
| Independence & Lee's Summit Peds | 53% | 5 74% | 539 | 6 109 | 6 | 9% 14.7% | 100% | 6 NA | NA | NA | NA | 2/6 | 33% Ti | ier 4 | Same Tier | \$ 195.30 | 0.70 | \$ 279.01 | Tier 2 | Same Tier | 1.00 | - | 1,390 | \$ 17,205 | \$ - | \$ 68,8 |
| Johnson County Peds | 88% | 5 93% | 6 829 | 6 709 | 6 1 | .1% 13.6% | 100% | 6 NA | NA | NA | NA | 4/6 | 67% Ti | ier 2 | Same Tier | \$ 251.88 | 1.02 | \$ 246.94 | Tier 2 | Same Tier | 2.25 | - | 3,265 | \$ 144,525 | Ş - | \$ 256, |
| Meritas Health Pediatrics ** | 79% | 5 79% | 5 719 | 6 319 | 6 | 5% 8.3% | 819 | 6 NA | NA | NA | NA | 3/6 | 50% Ti | ier 3 | Same Tier | \$ 244.86 | 0.82 | \$ 298.61 | Tier 3 | Same Tier | 1.25 | - | 1,414 | \$ 28,952 | \$ - | \$ 92 |
| Pediatric Associates | 90% | 6 86% | 5 759 | 6 509 | 6 | 6% 6.9% | 949 | 6 NA | NA | NA | NA | 5/6 | 83% T | ier 1 | Same Tier | \$ 230.97 | 0.94 | \$ 246.12 | Tier 2 | Down 1 Tier | 3.00 | (1.00) | 5,780 | \$ 312,402 | \$ (104,134 |) \$ 416, |
| Pediatric Care North | 91% | 5 87% | 5 789 | 6 549 | 6 1 | .4% 5.6% | 889 | 6 NA | NA | NA | NA | 5/6 | 83% <mark>T</mark> | ier 1 | Same Tier | \$ 238.43 | 0.85 | \$ 280.51 | Tier 2 | Same Tier | 3.00 | - | 3,675 | \$ 177,304 | \$ - | \$ 236, |
| Pediatric Care Specialists | 92% | 5 90% | 5 799 | 6 309 | 6 | 2% 9.2% | 759 | 6 NA | NA | NA | NA | 5/6 | 83% T | ier 1 | Up 1 Tier | \$ 252.86 | 0.82 | \$ 308.37 | Tier 3 | Up 1 Tier | 2.50 | 1.00 | 4,053 | \$ 152,346 | \$ 60,938 | \$ 243 |
| Pediatric Partners | 98% | 5 92% | 5 829 | 6 389 | 6 1 | .8% 7.3% | 1009 | 6 NA | NA | NA | NA | 6/6 | 100% T | ier 1 | Same tier | \$ 283.14 | 0.87 | \$ 325.44 | Tier 4 | Same Tier | 2.00 | - | 2,281 | \$ 71,844 | \$ - | \$ 143 |
| Pediatric Professional Association | 91% | 5 93% | 5 849 | 6 489 | 6 1 | .3% 7.5% | 1009 | 6 NA | NA | NA | NA | 5/6 | 83% T | ier 1 | Same tier | \$ 247.27 | 0.80 | \$ 309.09 | Tier 3 | Down 1 Tier | 2.50 | (0.50) | 2,504 | \$ 102,728 | \$ (20,546 |) \$ 164 |
| Preferred Pediatrics | NA | 66% | 509 | 6 509 | 6 NA | NA | 949 | 6 No (1) | Yes (1) | Yes (2) | Yes (4) | 9/11 | 82% T | ier 1 | Same tier | \$ 263.23 | 0.83 | \$ 317.14 | Tier 4 | Same Tier | 2.00 | - | 169 | \$ 5,006 | i\$ - | \$ 10 |
| Shawnee Mission Pediatrics | 94% | 5 91% | 5 779 | 6 229 | 6 | 0% 14.4% | 889 | 6 NA | NA | NA | NA | 4/6 | 67% Ti | ier 2 | Up 1 Tier | \$ 249.67 | 0.81 | \$ 308.23 | Tier 3 | Same Tier | 1.75 | 0.50 | 3,171 | \$ 77,62 | \$ 22,178 | \$ 177 |
| Summit Pediatrics | 90% | 5 79% | 609 | 6 749 | 6 1 | .9% NA | 819 | 6 NA | NA | NA | NA | 4/5 | 80% | ier 1 | Same tier | \$ 274.56 | 1.15 | \$ 238.75 | Tier 1 | Same Tier | 4.00 | - | 1,450 | \$ 119,184 | \$ - | \$ 119 |
| Tenney Pediatrics | NA | 67% | 579 | 6 49 | 6 NA | NA | 559 | 6 No (1) | Yes (1) | Yes (2) | Yes (4) | 8/11 | 73% Ti | ier 2 | Same tier | \$ 176.79 | 0.68 | \$ 259.98 | Tier 2 | Same Tier | 2.25 | - | 599 | \$ 15,188 | ş - | \$ 27 |
| Village Pediatrics | 91% | 5 88% | 5 779 | 6 279 | 6 | 6% 13.5% | 100% | NA | NA | NA | NA | 4/6 | 67% Ti | ier 2 | Same Tier | \$ 241.43 | 0.94 | \$ 256.84 | Tier 2 | Down 1 Tier | 2.25 | (0.50) | 2,868 | \$ 123,706 | \$ (27,490 |) \$ 219, |
| Measure Goal Threshol | d 84.09 | 6 79.69 | 6 47.69 | 65.09 | 6 14 | 1.0% < 10% | 75.05 | 6 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Aggregate | 88% | 6 86% | 5 739 | 6 419 | 6 | 9% 9% | 889 | 6 NA | NA | NA | NA | 5/6 | 83% T | ier 1 | Up 1 Tier | \$ 252.60 | 0.91 | \$ 276.54 | Tier 2 | Up 1 Tier | 3.00 | 1.75 | 38,247 | \$ 1,489,58 | \$ (47,138 |) \$ 2,512 |

Quality Performance Period Reported: January 2016 to December 2016 | Cost Performance Period Reported: January 2016 to December 2016

* Blue KC accidentally overstated CHN BMI performance by ~20 percentage points in the report published in June 2017. Due to their error, Blue KC is removing the BMI measure from the incentive calculation in CY2016.

| Quality Score refers of total Quality | |
|--|-----------------|
| Tier 1 = 80% or greater | Tier 3 = 40-59% |
| Tier 2 = 60-79% | Tier 4 = <40% |



Blue KC PCMH Cohort Mean = \$280.98 Blue KC PCMH Standard Deviation = \$35.18

Blue KC Risk Normalized Cost Tiers



Important Disclaimer: The results presented above are based on available data and CHN's application of the PBI incentive framework. Estimates are not guaranteed and are presented for evaluation purposes. As such, results are subject to change.

Appendix B

Children's Health Network - Blue KC Quality Performance (July 2016 through June 2017)



| | Clinical C | Quality N | leasures | s (1.2 Pt | s) | | PCN | 1H & Engagement | Patient Exp (1.0 Pts) | | |
|------------------------------------|------------|-----------|---------------|-----------|-------|-------|---------|-----------------|-----------------------|-----------|--------------------|
| CHN Practice | | | 12-18 Well | BMI | HPV* | URI | CHN Att | Meetings | PCMH- Care Plans | Referrals | Patient Experience |
| Cass County | 80% | 75% | 63% | 83% | 29% | 0% | 79% | TBD | TBD | TBD | TBD |
| Children's Mercy | 32% | 73% | 56% | 47% | 33% | 4% | 95% | TBD | TBD | TBD | TBD |
| Cradle Thru College | 92% | 76% | 70% | 81% | 11% | 8% | 89% | TBD | TBD | TBD | TBD |
| Health Care for Children | 84% | 67% | 49% | 33% | 1% | 15% | 100% | TBD | TBD | TBD | TBD |
| Independence & Lee's Summit Peds | 68% | 66% | 54% | 40% | 13% | 13% | 85% | TBD | TBD | TBD | TBD |
| Johnson County Peds | 85% | 87% | 78% | 80% | 11% | 10% | 100% | TBD | TBD | TBD | TBD |
| Meritas Health Pediatrics | 77% | 72% | 68% | 47% | 8% | 12% | 95% | TBD | TBD | TBD | TBD |
| Pediatric Associates | 88% | 73% | 67% | 69% | 5% | 6% | 95% | TBD | TBD | TBD | TBD |
| Pediatric Care North | 89% | 80% | 63% | 65% | 16% | 12% | 84% | TBD | TBD | TBD | TBD |
| Pediatric Care Specialists | 91% | 89% | 78% | 65% | 1% | 7% | 84% | TBD | TBD | TBD | TBD |
| Pediatric Partners | 98% | 91% | 75% | 73% | 21% | 7% | 100% | TBD | TBD | TBD | TBD |
| Pediatric Professional Association | 90% | 82% | 72% | 80% | 16% | 5% | 93% | TBD | TBD | TBD | TBD |
| Preferred Pediatrics | 75% | 73% | 49% | 65% | 0% | 0% | 79% | TBD | TBD | TBD | TBD |
| Shawnee Mission Pediatrics | 90% | 87% | 69% | 61% | 0% | 15% | 79% | TBD | TBD | TBD | TBD |
| Summit Pediatrics | 85% | 83% | 68% | 79% | 18% | 0% | 89% | TBD | TBD | TBD | TBD |
| Tenney Pediatrics | 64% | 54% | 51% | 8% | 0% | 0% | 68% | TBD | TBD | TBD | TBD |
| Village Pediatrics | 90% | 85% | 74% | 55% | 7% | 12% | 73% | TBD | TBD | TBD | TBD |
| Measure Goal Threshold | 84.0% | 79.6% | 47.6% | 65.0% | 14.0% | < 10% | 75.0% | | | | |
| Aggregate | 87% | 80% | 68% | 64% | 10% | 8% | 88% | TBD | TBD | TBD | TBD |
| Aggregate (CY2016 Performance) | 88% | 86% | 73% | 41% | 9% | 9% | 88% | TBD | TBD | TBD | TBD |
| Difference | -2% | -6% | -5% | 23% | 1% | -1% | 0% | | | | |

*HPV Immunization measure includes males and females but has not been updated to the 2-dose definition. The next report will be updated to reflect the 2-dose requirements.



Overall Comments

- Well visit measures appear understated due to lack of claims run out.
- Many practices close to target on the 3-6 well visit measure
- Overall 20% point increase in BMI coding
- HPV up just 1% but Blue KC definition currently required 3 doses

Important Disclaimer: The results presented above are based on available data and CHN's application of the PBI incentive framework. Estimates are not guaranteed and are presented for evaluation purposes. As such, results are subject to change.

Understanding Risk Adjustment

What is Risk Adjustment?

Risk adjustment is an actuarial methodology used to calibrate payments (i.e. healthcare costs) based on the relative health of the at-risk population. Risk adjustment methodologies often use a patient's age, gender, medical diagnoses, and prescription medication history to assess patient risk. Risk adjustment methodologies are used to set benchmarks, adjust payer payments, and evaluate provider/practice cost performance.¹

Why is Risk Adjustment Important?

Your practice and our network's cost performance is influenced by risk adjustment. Risk-adjusted costs normalize costs for medical complexity to facilitate more meaningful comparisons across practices and providers.

Optimize your risk adjusted cost performance by ensuring your risk score accurately reflects the level of risk of patients seen within your practice.

How Can I Influence My Risk Score to Ensure It Appropriately Reflects the Risk of My Patients?



A Holistic View of Your Patient Helps Inform A More Accurate Risk Score:

Consider utilizing Vision Patient Face Sheets and your EMR problem lists to inform your



¹ Risk Assessment and Risk Adjustment. American Academy of Actuaries May 2010 Issue Brief. May 2010.

Risk Adjustment <u>Depends</u> <u>Exclusively on Claims Data</u> (typically based on 1 year of data)!

Children's

Health Network

Risk Adjusted Cost = $\frac{\text{Total Cost}}{\text{Risk Score}}$ A higher risk score (i.e. more medical complexity) lowers your risk adjusted cost.

² Risk Adjustment –Tools for Health Reform. Milliman Inc. June 20, 2011.

https://www.seactuary.com/files/handouts/201106_03d_Risk_Adjusters.pdf